

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/353,670 | 07/15/1999 | GEORGE D. PRELL | 31817070165. | 3938 , |
| 7 | 7590 06/18/2002 | | | |
| BAKER & BOTTS LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 30 ROCKEFE. NEW YORK, | LLER PLAZA NY 10112 | | WILSON, JAMES O | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1623 | In |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/353,670

Applicant(s)

Prell et al.

Examiner

James O. Wilson

Art Unit **1623**



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover si | heet with | the correspondence address | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | or Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>One</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | |
| mailing | - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | |
| - If NO p - Failure - Any rej | eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6 he application to beco |) MONTHS f | rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 29, 2 | 2002 | | · | | |
| 2a) □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> | | · · · | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-27 | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) 🗆 | Claims | are | e subject | to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Applica | tion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) 🗌 accepto | ed or b) | \Box objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | Irawing(s) be he | eld in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) 🗌 | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is | ::a)□ a | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) L | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) | | | | | | |
| 14) | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Si | ummary (PTC | D-413) Paper No(s) | | |
| 2) No | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | _ | | t Application (PTO-152) | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/353,670

Art Unit:

The following Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed March 29, 2002. The Amendment submitted March 29, 2002 provides for the amendment of claims 4-6, and the addition of new claims 17-27.

Claims 1-3 and 7-16, which are still pending, have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention(s), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Newly amended claims 4-6 and newly submitted claims 17-27 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

As originally presented, the invention elected, Group II, claims 4-6, were drawn to a method for regulating the biological activity of a receptor of imidazoline by contacting said receptor with imidazoleacetic acid-ribotide or imidazoleacetic acid-riboside or a cogener thereof.

The claims have now been amended and are drawn to the regulation of the signal transduction pathway mediated by imidazoleacetic acid -ribotide, -riboside or a cogener thereof. This is seen to be a different method from the method originally elected September 20, 2001. Clearly, the newly amended method would have been presented as a separate and distinct group in the original restriction dated March 19, 2001.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, newly amended claims 4-6 and newly submitted claims 17-27 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit:

The reply filed on March 29, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because:

The amendment introduces new independent and distinct invention(s) upon which no substantive examination has been performed.

Since the period for reply set forth in the prior Office action has expired, this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James O. Wilson, Primary Examiner in Art Unit 1623 whose telephone number is (703) 308-4624. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached on (703) 308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 808-1235.

JAMES O. WILSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER